



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Applicant:

Zilan Shen

Serial No.: 09/904,237

Filed: July 12, 2001

For: Fused Passive Organic
Light Emitting Displays

Art Unit: 2822

Examiner: Ida M. Soward

Atty Docket: ITL.0582US
P11591

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

REPLY TO PAPER NO. 6

Sir:

In response to the office action mailed March 12, 2003, reconsideration is requested in view of the following remarks.

REMARKS

All of the claims were rejected under Section 103 on a combination that includes the Montague reference. The Montague reference has absolutely nothing to do with the claimed invention. For example, claim 1 calls for a display. There is no display in Montague. The Examiner's effort to define both the claimed invention and the Montague reference as both relating to a fuse is to simply beg the question. The entire force and effect of the application, including its title and the preamble of the claims, calls for a display, not for a fuse. Thus, the

Date of Deposit: April 16, 2003

I hereby certify under 37 CFR 1.8(a) that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as **first class mail** with sufficient postage on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Washington DC 20231.

Cynthia L. Hayden
Cynthia L. Hayden

2822
#7/Response
9700
4/24/03

RECEIVED
APR 24 2003
TC 2800 MAIL ROOM